SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1941

315 U.S. 781

No. 836

KARL HOBLITZELLE,

Appellant,

vs.

CITY OF UNIVERSITY PARK, ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS FOR THE [illegible] SUPREME JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF TEXAS.

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE STATEMENT AS TO JURISDICTION AND STATEMENT AS TO JURISDICTION.

DAVID A. FRANK,
Counsel for Appellant.

INDEX.

Subject Index.

	Page
Motion for leave to file statement as to jurisdiction	1
Statement as to jurisdiction	3
Statement	3
Ordinance involved	5
Date of judgment and of application for appeal	6
Federal question involved	6

Table of Cases Cited.

Adams v. *Milwaukee*, 228 U. S. 572, 57 L. Ed. 971, 33 Sup. Ct. 610	6
City of West University Park v. *Ellis*, 134 S. W. (2d) 1038	7
Dobbins v. *Los Angeles*, 195 U. S. 223, 25 Sup. Ct. 20, 49 L. Ed. 169	8
Euclid v. *Ambler*, 272 U. S. 365, 71 L. Ed. 303	7
Hoblitzelle v. *City of University Park, et al.*, 150 S. W. (2d) 169	6
Lawton v. *Steele*, 152 U. S. 133, 38 L. Ed. 385	8
Miller v. *Wilson*, 236 U. S. 373, 59 L. Ed. 632	6
Nashville v. *Walters*, 294 U. S. 415, 79 L. Ed. 955	8
Nectow v. *Cambridge*, 277 U. S. 183, 72 L. Ed. 842	7
Washington v. *Roberge*, 278 U. S. 120, 73 L. Ed. 213	8
Zucht v. *King*, 260 U. S. 177, 67 L. Ed. 194, 43 Sup. Ct. 24	6

Statutes Cited.

Constitution of the United States, 14th Amendment	6
Revised Civil Statutes of Texas, Article 1175, Section 26	5
United States Code, Title 28, Section 344	5

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1941

No. 836

KARL HOBLITZELLE,

Appellant,

vs.

CITY OF UNIVERSITY PARK, ET AL.

Appellees.

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT.

To the Honorable Supreme Court of the United States:

Comes now Karl Hoblitzelle, appellant herein, and moves the court to allow the filing herein of a separate typewritten statement hereto attached disclosing the basis on which it is contended that this Court has jurisdiction on appeal to review the judgment or decree in question and in this connection represents to the court that appellant mistakenly took the view that the application for appeal complied with the rule which has since been particularly called to counsel's attention by the Clerk of this Court.

Copy of this motion and statement has been presented to the Honorable Percy Fewell and his consent to the filing of this motion is indicated herewith.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID A. FRANK,

Counsel for Karl Hoblitzelle, Appellant.

Copy of above motion and written statement therein referred to has been received by me and it is hereby agreed that such motion may be granted and said statement filed.

PERCY C. FEWELL,

Counsel for Appellees.